

## SOLAR EXPOSURE REDUCES PLASMA TESTOSTERONE CONCENTRATIONS, SPERM QUALITY AND FERTILITY IN MALE **GOATS FROM SUBTROPICAL LATITUDES †**

## **ILA EXPOSICIÓN SOLAR REDUCE LAS CONCENTRACIONES** PLASMÁTICAS DE TESTOSTERONA, LA CALIDAD ESPERMÁTICA Y LA FERTILIDAD EN MACHOS CABRÍOS DE LATITUDES SUBTROPICALES]

L.M. Tejada<sup>1</sup>, O.U. García-Cruz<sup>1</sup>, L.E. Nava-Rivera<sup>2</sup>, N. López-Magaña<sup>2</sup>, H. Hernández<sup>1</sup>, D. López-Magaña<sup>1</sup>, M. Keller<sup>3</sup>, P. Chemineau<sup>3</sup>, J. Santiago-Moreno<sup>4</sup> and J.A. Delgadillo<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro de Investigación en Reproducción Caprina (CIRCA), Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro, Periférico Raúl López Sánchez y Carretera a Santa Fe, 27054 Torreón, Coahuila, México. E-mail: joaldesa@yahoo.com <sup>2</sup> Centro de Investigación Biomédica, Universidad Autónoma de Coahuila, Gregorio

A. García 198, 27000 Torreón, Coahuila, México.

<sup>3</sup> Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements, CNRS, INRAE, Université de Tours, 37380 Nouzilly, France.

<sup>4</sup> Departamento de Reproducción Animal, INIA-CSIC, 28040 Madrid, España. \*Corresponding author

#### SUMMARY

Background. In subtropical latitudes, where goats are typically raised in rangeland conditions, daily solar radiation may strongly impair reproductive activity in male goats, thus decreasing their fertility. Objective. To assess the effects of solar radiation on plasma testosterone concentrations, sperm production and fertility in well-nourished male goats over the course of 1 year. Methodology. Control males were kept in a shaded pen (n = 5), whereas the solar-exposed males remained in an unshaded pen exposed to direct solar radiation (n = 5). In experiment 1, plasma testosterone concentrations and sperm production were measured. In experiment 2, fertility of solar-exposed and control males was determined when joined with anestrous female goats. Results. Plasma testosterone concentrations varied over time (P < 0.0001), and there was an interaction between time and the groups of bucks (P < 0.001). In solar-exposed males, testosterone concentrations were lower than controls in June, September, December and January (P < 0.05). The total number of spermatozoa per ejaculate and progressive sperm motility varied over time (P < 0.0001), but there was no interaction between time and groups (P > 0.05). The percentage of live spermatozoa and the percentage of cells with abnormalities varied over time (P < 0.0001), and there was an interaction between time and treatment group (P < 0.0001) 0.0001). In solar-exposed males, the percentages of live spermatozoa were lower than controls in June, August, November and December, while in May, this percentage was higher in solar-exposed males than in controls (P < 0.05). The percentage of abnormal spermatozoa was higher in solar-exposed males than in controls from June to December (P < 0.001). The percentage of females that kidded was lower in those joined with the solar-exposed (48%) compared with those joined with control males (79%;  $P \le 0.001$ ). Implications. Providing shade reduces the negative effects of solar radiation on sperm quality and buck's fertility. Conclusion. Daily exposure to solar radiation deeply altered reproductive activity of bucks. Testosterone concentrations, qualitative sperm production and fertility, were much lower in solar-exposed bucks compared to the control bucks kept under shade.

Key words: caprine; reproductive seasonality; sexual behavior; sperm production; heat stress; subtropics; endocrine activity; solar exposition.

### RESUMEN

Antecedentes. En latitudes subtropicales, donde las cabras se crían típicamente en pastizales, la radiación solar diaria puede afectar considerablemente la actividad reproductiva de los machos cabríos, disminuyendo así su fertilidad. Objetivo. Evaluar los efectos de la radiación solar sobre las concentraciones plasmáticas de testosterona, la producción de esperma y la fertilidad en machos cabríos bien nutridos a lo largo de un año. Metodología. Los machos control se

 $(\mathbf{i})$ 

Submitted March 13 2025 – Accepted June 17, 2025. http://doi.org/10.56369/tsaes.6241

<sup>(</sup>cc Copyright © the authors. Work licensed under a CC-BY 4.0 License. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ ISSN: 1870-0462.

mantuvieron en un corral sombreado (n = 5), mientras que los machos expuestos al sol permanecieron en un corral sin sombra, expuestos a la radiación solar directa (n = 5). En el experimento 1, se midieron las concentraciones plasmáticas de testosterona y la producción de esperma. En el experimento 2, se determinó la fertilidad de los machos expuestos al sol y control al ser empadrados con cabras en anestro. Resultados. Las concentraciones plasmáticas de testosterona variaron con el tiempo (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos cabríos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos (P < 0.0001), y se observó una interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos de machos (P < 0.0001), y se observó (0.001). En los machos expuestos al sol, las concentraciones de testosterona fueron inferiores a las de los controles en junio, septiembre, diciembre y enero (P < 0.05). El número total de espermatozoides por eyaculado y la motilidad espermática progresiva variaron con el tiempo (P < 0.0001), pero no hubo interacción entre el tiempo y los grupos (P > 0.05). El porcentaje de espermatozoides vivos y el porcentaje de células con anomalías variaron con el tiempo (P < 0.0001), y hubo una interacción entre el tiempo y el grupo de tratamiento (P < 0.0001). En los machos expuestos al sol, los porcentajes de espermatozoides vivos fueron inferiores a los controles en junio, agosto, noviembre y diciembre, mientras que en mayo, este porcentaje fue superior en los machos expuestos al sol que en los controles (P < 0.05). El porcentaje de espermatozoides anormales fue superior en los machos expuestos al sol que en los controles de junio a diciembre (P < 0.001). El porcentaje de hembras que parieron fue menor en las que se unieron a los machos expuestos al sol (48%) en comparación con las que se unieron a los machos control (79%; P < 0.001). Implicaciones. Proporcionar sombra reduce los efectos negativos de la radiación solar en la calidad del esperma y la fertilidad de los machos. Conclusión. La exposición diaria a la radiación solar alteró profundamente la actividad reproductiva de los machos. Las concentraciones de testosterona, la producción cualitativa de esperma y la fertilidad fueron mucho menores en los machos expuestos al sol en comparación con los machos control mantenidos a la sombra.

**Palabras clave:** caprino, estacionalidad reproductiva, comportamiento sexual, producción de esperma, estrés térmico, subtrópicos, actividad endocrina, exposición solar.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Male goats from subtropical latitudes exhibit seasonal variations in their endocrine and sexual activities. In these bucks, the breeding season begins in early summer and ends in late winter (Walkden-Brown et al., 1994; Delgadillo et al., 1999; Giriboni et al., 2017). This reproductive seasonality is primarily regulated by annual photoperiodic changes (Delgadillo et al., 2004). However, other factors, such as undernutrition (Walkden-Brown et al., 1994; Hötzel et al., 2003) or long-time exposure to solar radiation could dramatically reduce the sexual activity, sperm production and fertility in male goats and rams (Mohamed et al., 2012; Küçük et al., 2020; García-Cruz et al., 2022). Undernutrition and daily exposure to solar radiation are common in subtropical regions where most animals are managed in semi-extensive systems (Lassoued and Rekik 2005; Delgadillo and Martin 2015; Aboul-Naga et al., 2021). In male goats from subtropical Mexico, for example, testicular diameters, plasma testosterone concentrations, sexual behavior intensity, and both quantitative and qualitative sperm production were lower in males kept in a semi-extensive management system than in wellnourished males kept indoor (García-Cruz et al., 2022). However, in this latter study, it is unclear whether these differences were due to undernutrition, daily exposure to solar radiation, or an interaction between these two factors. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to determine plasma testosterone concentration, sexual behavior, sperm production and fertility in well-fed bucks kept indoors and either longtime exposed or not to solar radiation. It was hypothesized that testosterone concentrations, sperm production and fertility would be lower in bucks exposed to solar radiation than in those kept in a shaded pen. To test this possibility, in experiment 1, plasma testosterone concentrations and sperm production were determined in solar-exposed bucks and compared them with those kept in a shaded pen. In experiment 2, fertility in solar-exposed bucks were determined when joined with seasonally anestrous goats, in comparison with those kept in a shaded pen.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Climatic and general conditions of the study

The study was conducted in the Laguna region of Coahuila, in the subtropical north of Mexico (Latitude 26° 23' N; Longitude 104° 47' W) characterized by a dry, semi-arid climate. In this arid region, the photoperiod ranged from 13 h 41 min of light at the summer solstice to 10 h 19 min of light at the winter solstice. During the first experiment, weekly ambient temperatures as well and relative humidity were registered daily using two digital thermometers placed in both shaded and unshaded pens. The temperaturehumidity index (THI) was calculated using the equation: THI =  $(0.8) \times DBT + (RH) \times (DBT-14.4) +$ 46.4, where DBT is the dry bulb temperature (°C) and RH is relative humidity in decimal form (Habeeb et al., 2018). Humidity was included because animals lose heat through respiration and sweating (Silanikove, 2000). Local male and female goats (Capra hircus) from the Laguna region were used, whose origin and phenotypic characteristics have been previously described (Duarte et al., 2008). In bucks from this population, the breeding season lasts from June to

December, while in females, it lasts from September to February (Delgadillo *et al.*, 1999; Duarte *et al.*, 2008). All animals were fed 2 kg lucerne hay/buck.day (9.6 MJ\*kg<sup>-1</sup>, 18% crude protein\*kg<sup>-1</sup> dry matter), providing a maintenance diet (National Research Council, 2007).

Experiment 1: Plasma testosterone concentrations, testicular diameter and qualitative and quantitative sperm production in well-nourished male goats with or without direct solar exposure

#### Bucks and experimental design

Male goats that were 4 years old at the beginning of the study were used. Starting on 15 March, bucks were assigned to two groups (n = 5 each), balanced for body weight (BW), testicular diameter and progressive sperm motility (García-Cruz et al., 2022). Control males were placed in a shaded pen (6 x 6 m; BW: 71  $\pm$ 3 kg; testicular diameter:  $108 \pm 3$  mm; progressive sperm motility:  $3 \pm 0.1$ ; mean  $\pm$  SEM), while solarexposed males were placed in an unshaded pen (6 x 6 m) throughout the study (BW:  $72 \pm 2$  kg; testicular diameter:  $108 \pm 4$  mm; progressive sperm motility:  $3 \pm$ 0.2). Due to the high cost of maintaining adult bucks, five animals per group were used, as a previous study showed that this number was sufficient to reveal significant endocrine and sexual differences between bucks kept in a semi-extensive management system or indoors (García-Cruz et al., 2022).

#### Measurements

#### **Rectal temperature and respiratory rate**

Rectal temperature and respiratory rate were measured every 15 days at the same time (13:00), with animals being prevented from consuming food or water at the time of recording. Rectal temperature was measured using a clinical thermometer with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$ °C for 1 min and respiratory rate was measured visually by counting the flank movements for 1 min from three meters while the animal was standing quietly (Gaughan *et al.*, 2000).

#### Heat stress evaluation

To determine if the animals were experiencing heat stress, the degree of heat stress was classified according to respiratory frequency: low: 40–60 breaths per min; medium-high: 61 to 80 breaths per min; high: 81 to 120 breaths per min; severe heat stress: more than 120 breaths per min (Silanikove, 2000).

# Body weight, testicular diameter and plasma testosterone concentrations

Body weight, testicular diameter and plasma testosterone concentrations were measured every two weeks from 15 March to 28 February of the next year. All variables were measured in the morning by the same operator, before the distribution of lucerne hav. Body weight was determined using a manual balance with a capacity of 150 kg and a precision of 0.05 kg (Torrey; Nuevo León, México). Testicular diameter was measured using a millimeter caliper, recording the greatest width of both testicles (Oldham et al., 1978). Plasma testosterone concentrations were determined in blood samples obtained via jugular venipuncture, using tubes containing 30 µL of heparin. The blood samples were centrifuged at 2500 X g for 20 min, and the resulting plasma was stored at -20 °C until assayed by direct enzyme immunoassay (Delgadillo et al., 2024). The sensitivity was 0.3 ng/mL, and the intraassay CV was 8.7%.

#### Ejaculation latency and sperm production

Ejaculation latency and sperm production were assessed during the last 4 days of May and June (beginning of the breeding season), August and September (mid-breeding season), and November and December (end of the breeding season) when the males were exposed to an intact, estrus-induced goat (Delgadillo et al., 1999). Males were trained to ejaculate into an artificial vagina in December of the previous breeding season. One ejaculate per male was collected in each session. To determine ejaculation latency, bucks were given 3 min to ejaculate after exposure to the female. The bucks were handled in the same order, and prior to each evaluation period, males were collected twice a week to avoid potential effects of not collecting ejaculates over an extend period. All bucks ejaculated during each solicitation. The total number of spermatozoa per ejaculate was calculated by measuring the ejaculate volume and sperm concentration using a photometer (Goat SpermaCue; Minitube, Tiefenbach, Germany). Progressive sperm motility was evaluated in undiluted samples after semen collection using a phase-contrast microscope (Scale: 0-5; Delgadillo et al., 1999). The percentage of live spermatozoa was assessed using the eosin-nigrosin staining procedure on undiluted sperm samples (5  $\mu$ L). A total of 200 sperm cells from each sample were observed for live (unstained) or dead (stained) sperm heads. Sperm morphology was evaluated by counting a total of 200 sperm cells from each sample under a phase-contrast microscope at X 100 magnification (Farshad et al., 2012).

#### Experiment 2: Sperm production, sexual behavior and fertility of well-nourished male goats with or without direct solar exposure

#### Bucks and experimental design

In this study, the same males from the Experiment 1 were used, which were kept in the same group and conditions as described in experiment, and were joined with anestrous goats (male effect) to determine their fertility. Therefore, on 12 June (10 days before the male effect), ejaculation latency, progressive sperm motility and percentage of live spermatozoa were determined in all males, as described in Experiment 1. A total of three ejaculates per buck were evaluated before the male effect.

#### **Female groups**

Multiparous female goats previously separated from the males since December were used. On 30 May, 10 June and 20 June, the ovulatory status of females was determined using a transrectal ultrasonography with an Aloka SSD-500 device (Aloka Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) connected to a transrectal 7.5-MHz linear probe (Simões et al., 2007). Females without a corpus luteum in all three ultrasonographic exams were considered anovulatory and then, were used in the experiment. On 19 June, the females were assigned to two groups (n =49 each) balanced by body condition score ( $2.6 \pm 0.1$ in both groups) using a scale from 1 (very lean) to 4 (obese) (Walkden-Brown et al., 1993). In both groups, the females were separated into five subgroups (n = 9)-10) each joined with one male (see the male effect), to avoid fights and accidents, and kept in shaded pens (4 x 4 m each). Each subgroup was separated by an openwork wooden barrier, allowing visual, olfactory, and nose-to-nose contact among animals. The females were milked once daily throughout the study.

#### The male effect

On 20 June, 48 h before being joined with males, all females were treated with 25 mg IM of progesterone (Facilgest 25 mg\*mL<sup>-1</sup>; Syva Laboratory) to reduce the frequency of short-duration ovulatory and estrous cycles and induce estrus behavior at the first ovulation (Andrade-Esparza *et al.*, 2018). On 22 June (Day 0), one group of females was joined with the control males (n = 5), while the other group was joined with the solar-exposed males (n = 5). The males remained in contact with the females for 15 days.

#### Measurements during the male effect

### Sexual behavior of males joined with female groups

The nudging events displayed by the males were monitored from 8:00 to 8:15 on Day 0 and 1 after their introduction to the female groups (Bedos *et al.*, 2016).

## Pregnancy, fertility and prolificacy

Pregnancy rates were determined based on the presence of embryos, as assessed by transrectal ultrasonography performed 50 days after the introduction of males into both female groups (Ponce *et al.*, 2014). Fertility (does kidding/does exposed to bucks) and prolificacy (kids born/does kidding) at parturition were recorded (Delgadillo and Vélez, 2010).

#### Statistical analyses

Rectal temperature, respiratory rate, body weight, testicular diameter. plasma testosterone concentrations, ejaculation latency, total number of sperm per ejaculate, progressive sperm motility, percentage of live sperm and percentage of abnormal sperm cells were analyzed using two-way repeatedmeasures ANOVA to detect differences between treatments. The model included the treatment (group), sampling time (weeks), and the interaction between these factors. The total number of nudging events displayed by bucks was compared using a Chi-square test for goodness of fit, with a random distribution of 50% in each group as the null hypothesis. The proportions of pregnant females and fertility were compared between groups using the Chi-square test. Prolificacy was compared between groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. Analyses were performed using the statistical software package SYSTAT Statics (2009). Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM, and differences were considered significant at  $P \le 0.05$ .

#### RESULTS

## **Experiment 1**

## Prevailing climatic conditions during the experimental period

In the shaded pen, the mean maximum ambient temperature from April to September was  $37.7 \pm 0.3$  °C and from October to December, it was  $28.7 \pm 0.5$  °C. In the unshaded pen, these two means maximum ambient temperatures were higher than those registered in the shaded pen ( $41.7 \pm 0.2$  °C and  $32.3 \pm 0.6$  °C, respectively; P < 0.0001; Figure 1a). In the shaded pen, the mean minimum ambient temperature from April to September was  $20.1 \pm 0.2$  °C and from

October to December, it was  $11.4 \pm 0.4$  °C. In the unshaded pen, these two mean minimum ambient temperatures were higher than those registered in the shaded pen ( $18.5 \pm 0.2$  °C and  $8.9 \pm 0.4$  °C, P < 0.0001; P < 0.001; respectively Figure 1b).

#### Temperature and humidity index (THI)

The THI in unshaded pen remained higher than in shaded pen from March to October. In August, the shaded pen registered a THI of 85, while the unshaded pen registered a THI of 100. From August, the THI gradually decreased in both types of pens until December, reaching a value of 70 (Figure 1c).



**Figure 1**. Weekly maximum (a) and minimum (b) ambient temperatures in shaded (dotted line) and unshaded (continue line) pens, and temperature humidity index (THI) (c). The THI values were calculated based on temperature and humidity data from both shaded and unshaded pens.

#### **Rectal temperature and respiratory rate**

Rectal temperature and respiratory rate varied over time (P < 0.0001), and there was an interaction between time and groups (P < 0.001). In the solarexposed group, rectal temperature was higher in May, August, September, October and February than in the control group (P < 0.001; Figure 2a). Similarly, the respiratory rate of the solar-exposed males was higher in all months of the study, except for December, compared to the control group (P < 0.001; Figure 2b).

#### Heat stress evaluation

In the solar-exposed males, heat stress ranged from high to severe between March and November, and from low to medium between December and February. In contrast, the control group remained under low to medium heat stress throughout the study, except in August, when it increased to a high level (Figure 2c).



**Figure 2.** Rectal temperature (a), respiratory rate (b) (mean  $\pm$  SEM) and degree of heat stress (c) in two groups of male goats. The control group was kept in a shaded pen ( $\Box$ ), while the solar-exposed group was kept in an unshaded pen exposed to solar radiation ( $\blacksquare$ ). Heat stress evaluation was classified according to respiratory frequency: low, medium-high (Med), high and severe (Sev). All these variables were measured every two weeks. \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

## Body weight, testicular diameter, and plasma testosterone concentrations

In both groups, body weight varied over time (P < 0.0001), but there was no interaction between time and group (P > 0.05). In both groups, BW increased progressively from March to May, then decreased until October (Figure 3a). Testicular diameter varied over time (P < 0.0001), and there was an interaction between time and the group of bucks (P < 0.001). In the solar-exposed bucks, testicular values were lower than those of the controls in July and August (P < 0.05).

In both groups, testicular diameter increased from April to June, then decreased until November (Figure 3b). Plasma testosterone concentrations varied over time (P < 0.0001), and there was an interaction between time and the group of bucks (P < 0.001). This variable was lower in solar-exposed bucks than in control bucks in June, September, December and January (P < 0.05; Figure 3c). In both groups, plasma testosterone concentrations increased in June and remained high until October, then decreased until the end of the study.



**Figure 3.** Body weight (a), testicular diameter (b) and plasma testosterone concentration (c) (mean  $\pm$  SEM) in two groups of male goats. The control group was kept in a shaded pen ( $\Box$ ), while the solar-exposed group was kept in an unshaded pen exposed to solar radiation ( $\blacksquare$ ). These variables were measured every two weeks. \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

#### Ejaculation latency and sperm production

Ejaculation latency and all sperm production variables varied over time (P < 0.0001). For ejaculation latency, there was no interaction between time and group of bucks (P > 0.05; Fig. 4a), and in both groups, the mean values remained below 60 s throughout the study. For the total number of spermatozoa per ejaculate, there was no interaction between time and group of bucks (P > 0.05; Figure 4b), and in both groups, the mean values remained relatively stable throughout the study.

For progressive sperm motility, there was no interaction between time and group of bucks (P < 0.0001; Figure 5a), and in both groups, this variable increased from June and remained elevated until September. For the percentage of live spermatozoa, there was an interaction between time and group of bucks (P < 0.0001), and this variable was lower in the solar-exposed than in controls bucks in June, August,

November and December. However, in solar-exposed bucks, this percentage was higher than in controls in May (P < 0.05; Figure 5b). For the percentage of abnormal sperm cells, there was an interaction between time and group of bucks (P < 0.0001; Figure 5c) and these percentages were higher in solar-exposed than in control bucks from June to December (P < 0.001).

#### **Experiment 2**

## Ejaculation latency and sperm production before the male effect

Ejaculation latency did not differ between solarexposed and control bucks  $(34 \pm 2 \ vs. \ 18 \pm 4 \ s,$ respectively; P > 0.05), nor did progressive sperm motility  $(2.0 \pm 0.3 \ vs. \ 3.6 \pm 0.0;$  P > 0.05). In contrast, the percentage of live spermatozoa was lower in solarexposed compared with the control bucks  $(37 \pm 4 \ vs. \ 65 \pm 2,$  respectively; P < 0.0001).



**Figure 4.** Ejaculation latency (a) and the total number of spermatozoa per ejaculate (b) (mean  $\pm$  SEM) in two groups of male goats. The control group was kept in a shaded pen ( $\Box$ ), while the solar-exposed group was kept in an unshaded pen exposed to solar radiation ( $\blacksquare$ ). Bucks were given 3 min to ejaculate after exposure to the female. These variables were assessed in all animals during the last four days of May and June (beginning of the sexual season), in August and September (mid-breeding season) and in November and December (end of the breeding season).



**Figure 5.** Progressive sperm motility (a) (mean  $\pm$  SEM), percentage of live sperm (b), and percentage of abnormal spermatozoa in two groups of male goats. The control group was kept in a shaded pen ( $\Box$ ), while the solar-exposed group was kept in an unshaded pen exposed to solar radiation ( $\blacksquare$ ). These variables were assessed in all animals during the last 4 days of May and June (beginning of the sexual season), in August and September (mid-breeding season) and in November and December (end of the breeding season). P < \*0.05; \*P < 0.01.

## Sexual and reproductive responses of male and females during the male effect

#### Sexual behavior of bucks

The total number of nudging events performed by the solar-exposed and control males did not differ between groups (373 vs. 415, respectively; P > 0.05).

#### Pregnancy, fertility and prolificacy

The percentage of pregnant females was lower in those joined with solar-exposed males than in females joined with control males (67%; 33/49 vs. 85%, 42/49; P < 0.05). Similarly, the percentage of females that kidded was lower in those joined with solar-exposed males than in those joined with control males (48%; 24/49 vs. 79%; 39/49; P < 0.001). In contrast, prolificacy did not

differ between groups (solar-exposed males:  $1.4 \pm 0.1$ ; control males:  $1.7 \pm 0.0$ ; P > 0.05).

## DISCUSSION

The results of these studies show that prolonged exposure of bucks to solar radiation decreases the percentage of live spermatozoa, increases sperm abnormalities, and reduces the males' ability to fertilize females. Other sexually determined variables, such as testosterone concentrations, sexual behavior, and quantitative sperm production, were only slightly affected by solar exposure. Therefore, prolonged exposure to solar radiation reduces the reproductive capacity of male goats. In this study, the THI index was higher in unshaded that in shaded pens and the solarexposed males showed an increase in body temperature and respiratory rate in an attempt to counteract the effects of heat stress. Therefore, it is likely that the heat stress experienced by solar-exposed males caused the decline in sperm quality.

In Experiment 1, the testicular diameter of males from both control and solar-exposed groups varied similarly, with no significant differences at most individual time points. These variations coincide with those previously reported in males from subtropical latitudes (Walkden-Brown et al., 1994; García-Cruz et al., 2022). The lack of differences in testicular diameter between groups is likely due to similar patterns in live weight variations between control and solar-exposed groups (Walkden-Brown et al., 1994; Delgadillo et al., 1999; García-Cruz et al., 2022). The absence of differences in body weight suggests that exposure to solar radiations likely did not reduce food intake, consistent with findings in other studies of male goats and rams (Abdel-Samee, 2009; Al-Tamimi, 2005; De et al., 2017). In both groups, testosterone plasma concentrations followed a similar pattern, with only occasional decreases in solar-exposed males compared to the controls. In the solar-exposed males, it is likely that solar radiation and high temperatures induced a reduction in steroidogenesis at the testicular level, leading to lower testosterone concentrations (Bozkaya et al., 2017). Despite the differences in testosterone concentrations, ejaculation latency, a measure of sexual behavior, did not differ between control and solar-exposed males throughout the study, suggesting that testosterone levels were sufficient to maintain sexual behavior at a similar intensity in both groups. This hypothesis is supported by findings indicating that male goats and rams exposed to short photoperiodic cycles maintain intense sexual behavior year-round, despite having lower testosterone levels than males exposed to natural photoperiod variations (Pelletier and Almeida, 1987; Delgadillo and Chemineau, 1992). Another interesting observation is that testicular diameter, testosterone concentrations

and sexual behavior in solar-exposed males were higher than those reported in the same population kept in a semi-extensive production system, where males grazed daily for 7 hours under direct solar radiation and were undernourished during the breeding season (García-Cruz et al., 2022). These results, along with those of Cruz-García et al. (2022) suggest that undernutrition, rather than daily exposure to solar radiation, is the primary factor responsible for the reduction of these variables in males kept in a semiextensive production system. Taken together, these findings indicate that in well-nourished male goats, prolonged exposure to solar radiation reduces plasma testosterone concentrations only in certain months, but does not affect sexual behavior, likely because these males are well-adapted to high ambient temperatures.

The total number of spermatozoa per ejaculate, a measure of quantitative sperm production, did not differ between the control and solar-exposed groups. These results indicate that in solar-exposed males, solar radiation did not reduce spermatogenic efficiency in terms of quantitative sperm production. This finding contrasts with studies in Santa Inês rams exposed to solar radiation (Teodoro et al., 2013) but aligns with others in which males were exposed to warm environments and high temperatures (Al-Hozab and Basiouni, 1998). This is further supported by the fact that testicular diameter, an indicator of spermatogenic activity in male goats and rams (Oldham et al., 1978; Delgadillo et al., 1993), did not differ between solarexposed and control males throughout the study. In contrast, sperm quality, as assessed by the percentage of live sperm and sperm abnormalities, was significantly affected by prolonged exposure to solar radiations. This finding is consistent with results reported in male goats (Mohamed et al., 2023), and other species, including boars (Kunavongkrit et al., 2005), bulls (Rizzoto and Kastelic, 2020) and rams (Hamilton et al. 2016). In these species, solar radiation or high ambient temperatures induced heat stress (Rizzoto and Kastelic 2020; Hamilton et al., 2016), leading to degeneration of the germinal epithelium, partial atrophy of seminiferous tubules, germ cell apoptosis and sperm DNA damage (Paul et al., 2008; Kanter et al., 2011; Shahat et al., 2020), ultimately disrupting spermatogenesis. Moreover, heat stress often disrupts the normal functioning of the epididymis and causes damage to sperm cells via oxidative stress, which leads to mitochondrial dysfunction in epididymal sperm (Shahat et al., 2020). In this study, it is likely that one or more of these described processes contributed to the decrease in the percentage of live sperm and the increase in sperm abnormalities.

Progressive sperm motility did not differ between the groups, despite the high ambient temperatures registered from April to September. This suggests that these males are well adapted to high temperatures, as previously reported (Al-Hozab and Basiouni, 1998). Adaptation to high temperatures is likely due to compensatory effects on sperm production and sperm motility, but not on live sperm or sperm morphology. This leads a general decrease in fertility.

In Experiment 2, fertility in females joined with the solar-exposed males was lower than in those joined with the control bucks. This reduced fertility was likely due to the significant decrease in the percentage of live spermatozoa observed in the solar-exposed bucks, as previously reported in rams (Colas, 1981).

## CONCLUSION

The results of these studies indicate that, in male goats, exposure to solar radiation dramatically decreases the percentage of live sperm and increases the sperm abnormalities, reducing the males' ability to fertilize females. These findings are of practical significance and could explain the low fertility observed in female goats raised in semi-extensive production systems and joined with males that are daily exposed to solar radiation and high ambient temperatures through the year.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Leonardo Jiménez for facilitating the does used in the present study; to Sergio Daniel Delgadillo, Nely Santos and Jesús Palomo for taking care of the experimental males. The authors also express their thank to Corinne Laclie and Anne-Lyse Lainé from the laboratory of hormonal assay of the Laboratoire de Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements from the INRAE of Nouzilly, France, for carrying out the testosterone assays.

**Funding.** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Conflicts of interest**. The authors have any conflict of interest to declare.

**Compliance with ethical standards**. The experimental procedures used in the current study followed the technical specifications of the Official Mexican Rule for the production, care, and use of laboratory animals (NOM-062-ZOO-1999) (Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería, Desarrollo Rural, Pesca y Alimentación, 2001).

**Data availability**. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author (joaldesa@yahoo.com) upon reasonable request.

Author contribution statement (CRediT). L.M. Teiada Conceptualization, Methodology, \_ Resources, Data curation and Visualization, Writing original draft and Writing - Review & Editing. O.U. García-Cruz, L.E. Nava-Rivera, N. López-Magaña - Conceptualization, Investigation and Methodology, Writing - original draft and Writing - Review & Editing. H. Hernández - Formal analysis and Visualization, Writing - original draft and Writing -Review & Editing. D. López-Magaña, M. Keller, P. Chemineau. J. Santiago-Moreno Conceptualization, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft and Writing - Review & Editing. J.A. Delgadillo - Conceptualization, Supervision, Resources and Project administration, Methodology and visualization, Writing - original draft and Writing - Review & Editing.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Samee, A.M., 1996. Heat adaptability of growing Bedouin goats in Egypt. Journal of Agriculture in the Tropics and Subtropics, 97, pp.137-147. <u>https://jarts.info/index.php/tropenlandwirt/art</u> icle/view/1513/687
- Aboul-Naga, A.M., Elshafie, M.H., Khalifa, H., Osman, M., Khalek,T.A., El-Beltagi, A.R. and Rischowesky, B., 2021. Tolerance capability of desert sheep and goats to exercise heat stress under hot dry conditions, and its correlation with their production performance. *Small Ruminant Research*, 205, pp. 106550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2021.1 06550
- Al-Hozab, A. and Basiouni, G.F., 1998. Seasonal variations in semen quality of bucks indigenous to Saudi Arabia. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 18, pp. 100-103. <u>https://www.pvj.com.pk/pdf-files/18\_2/100-102.pdf</u>
- Al-Tamimi, H.J., 2005. Effects of solar radiation on thermophysiological and growth parameters of indigenous Black Bedwin goat kids in southern Jordan. Journal of Biological Science, 5, pp. 724-728. https://doi.org/10.3923/jbs.2005.724.728
- Andrade-Esparza, J.D., Espinoza-Flores, L.A., Hernández, H., Chemineau, P., Keller, M. and Delgadillo, J.A., 2018. Extensive management conditions do not modify the frequency of short ovulatory cycles in progesterone-treated does exposed to sexually

active males. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 199, pp. 40-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2018.10.004

- Bedos, M., Muñoz, A.L., Orihuela, A. and Delgadillo, J.A., 2016. The sexual behavior of male goats exposed to long days is as intense as during their breeding season. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 184, pp. 35-40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2016.08.002</u>
- Bozkaya, F., Atli, M.O., Guzeloglu, A., Kayis, S.A., Yildirim, M.E., Kurar, E., Yilmaz, R. and Aydilek, N., 2017. Effects of long-term heat stress and dietary restriction on the expression of genes of steroidogenic pathway and small heat-shock proteins in rat testicular tissue. *Andrologia*, 49, pp. 12668. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/and.12668</u>
- Colas, G., 1981. Variations saisonnières de la qualité du sperm chez le bélier Ile-de-France. II. Fécondance: relations avec les critères qualitatifs observés in vitro. *Reproduction, Nutrition, Development,* 21, pp. 399-407. https://doi.org/10.1051/rnd:19810306
- De K., Kumar, D., Balaganur, K., Saxena, VK., Thirumurugan, P. and Naqvi, S.M.K., 2017. Effect of thermal exposure on physiological adaptability and seminal attributes of rams under semi-arid environment. *Journal* of Thermal Biology, 65, pp. 113-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2017.02.020
- Delgadillo, J.A. and Chemineau, P., 1992. Abolition of the seasonal release of luteinizing hormone and testosterone in Alpine male goats (Capra hircus) by short photoperiodic cycles. *Journal* of Reproduction and Fertility, 94, pp. 45-55. https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0940045
- Delgadillo, J.A., Leboeuf, B. and Chemineau, P., 1993. Maintenance of sperm production in bucks during a third year of short photoperiodic cycles. *Reproduction, Nutrition* and *Development,* 33, pp. 609-617. https://doi.org/10.1051/rnd:19930612
- Delgadillo, J.A., Canedo, G.A., Chemineau, P., Guillaume, D. and Malpaux, B., 1999. Evidence for an annual reproductive rhythm independent of food availability in male Creole goats in subtropical northern Mexico. *Theriogenology*, 52, pp. 727-737. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(99)00166-1</u>

- Delgadillo, J.A. and Vélez, L.I., 2010. Stimulation of reproductive activity in anovulatory Alpine goats exposed to bucks treated only with artificially long days. *Animal*, 4, pp. 2012-2016. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731110001345
- Delgadillo, J.A., and Martin, G.B., 2015. Alternative methods for control of reproduction in small ruminants: A focus on the needs of grazing industries.
  Animal Frontiers, 5, pp. 57-65. https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2015-0009
- Delgadillo, J.A., Espinoza-Flores, L.A., López-Magaña, D., Hernández, H., Keller, M., Chesneau, D. and Chemineau, P., 2024. Maintenance of permanent sexual activity throughout the year in seasonal bucks using short photoperiodic cycles in open barns. *Animal*, 18, pp. 101041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2023.101041
- Duarte, G., Flores, J.A., Malpaux, B. and Delgadillo, J.A., 2008. Reproductive seasonality in female goats adapted to a subtropical environment persists independently of food availability. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*, 35, pp. 362–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.domaniend.2008.07.005
- Farshad, A., Yousefi, A., Moghaddam, A. and Khalili,
  B., 2012. Seasonal changes in serum testosterone, LDH concentration and semen characteristics in Markhoz goats. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 25, pp. 189. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2011.11179
- García-Cruz, O.U., Tejada, L.M., Flores, M.J., Nava-Rivera, L.E., López-Magaña, N., Hernández, H. and Delgadillo, J.A., 2022. A semiextensive management system reduces plasma testosterone concentrations, sexual behavior and sperm production in male goats from subtropical latitudes. *Animal Production Science*, 62, pp.1683-1691. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/AN22066</u>

- Gaughan, J.B., Holt, S., Hahn, G.L., Mader, T.L. and Eigenberg, R., 2000. Respiration rate: Is it a good measure of heat stress in cattle? *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 13(suppl.), pp. 329-332. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/15049211.pdf
- Giriboni, J., Lacuesta, L. and Ungerfeld, R., 2017. Continuous contact with females in estrus throughout the year enhances testicular activity and improves seminal traits of male goats. *Theriogenology*, 87, pp. 284–289. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.201</u> <u>6.09.004</u>
- Habeeb, A.A., Gad, A.E. and Atta, M.A., 2018. Temperature-humidity indices as indicators to heat stress of climatic conditions with relation to production and reproduction of farm animals. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Recent Advances*, 1, pp. 35-50. https://doi.org/10.18689/ijbr-1000107
- Hamilton, T.R.S., Mendes, C.M., Castro, L.S., Assis, P.M., Siqueira, A.F.P., Delgado, J.C., Demarchi, M., Muiño, T., Cebrián-Pérez, J., Nichi,M., Visintin, J.A. and Ortiz, M.E., 2016. Evaluation of lasting effects of heat stress on sperm profile and oxidative status of ram semen and epididymal sperm. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity, 1, pp.1687657. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/1687657
- Hötzel, M.J., Walkden-Brown, S.W., Fisher, J.S. and Martin, G.B., 2003. Determinants of the annual pattern of reproduction in mature male Merino and Suffolk sheep: responses to a nutritional stimulus in the breeding and nonbreeding seasons. *Reproduction, Fertility, Development,* 15, pp. 1-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/RD02024</u>
- Kanter, M., Aktas, C. and Erboga, M., 2011. Heat stress decreases testicular germ cell proliferation and increases apoptosis in short immunohistochemical term: an and. ultrastructural study. Toxicology and Industrial Health, 29, pp. 99–113. https://doi.org/10.1177/0748233711425082
- Küçük, N. and Aksoy, M., 2020. Effect of environmental heat stress on Kıvırcık ram sperm parameters. *Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society*, 71, pp. 2073-2080. <u>https://doi.org/10.12681/jhvms.22968</u>

- Kunavongkrit, A., Suriyasomboon, A., Lundeheim, N., Heard, T.W. and Einarsson, S., 2005. Management and sperm production of boars under differing environmental conditions. *Theriogenology*, 63, pp. 657-667. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.200</u> 4.09.039
- Lassoued, N. and Rekik, M., 2005. Seasonal variations of oestrus and ovulation chez la chèvre locale Maure en Tunisie. *Revue d'Elevage et de Médecine Vétérinaire des Pays Tropicaux*, 58, pp. 69–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.19182/remvt.9942</u>
- Mohamed, S.S., Abdelatif, A.M. and Adam, A.A.G., 2012. Effects of exposure to solar radiation on thermoregulation and semen characteristics of sudanese desert rams (Ovisaries). *Global Veterinaria*, 9, pp. 502-507. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.gv.2012.9.4.65180
- Mohamed, R.H., Mohamed, R.S., Abd El-Hamid, I.S., Madkour, F.A., Sallam, A.M., Ali, F. and Hussein, H.A., 2023. Semen quality, testicular characteristic, biochemical profile and histopathology of testes of goats under heat stress conditions. *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal*, 69, pp. 76-87. https://doi.org/10.21608/avmj.2022.173957.1100
- National Research Council 2007. 'Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: sheep, goats, cervids, and new world camelids'. (National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA) http://doi.org/10.17226/11654
- Oldham, C.M., Adams, N.R., Gherardi, P.B., Lindsay, D.R. and Mackintosh, J.B., 1978. The influence of level of feed intake on spermproducing capacity of testicular tissue in the ram. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 29, pp.173-179. https://doi.org/10.1071/AR9780173
- Paul, C., Murray, A.A., Spears, N. and Saunders, P.T., 2008. A single, mild, transient scrotal heat stress causes DNA damage, subfertility and impairs formation of blastocysts in mice. *Reproduction*, 136, pp. 73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-08-0036</u>
- Pelletier, J. and Almeida, G., 1987. Short light cycles induce persistent reproductive activity in Ilede-France rams. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility*, 34(suppl.), pp. 215-226. https://doi.org/10.1530/biosciprocs.9.016

- Ponce, J.L., Velázquez, H., Duarte, G., Bedos, M., Hernández, H., Keller, M., Chemineau, P. and Delgadillo, J.A., 2014. Reducing exposure to long days from 75 to 30 d of extra-light treatment does not decrease the capacity of male goats to stimulate ovulatory activity in seasonally anovulatory females. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*, 48, pp.119-125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.domaniend.2014.03.002
- Rizzoto, G. and Kastelic, J.P.A., 2020. New paradigm regarding testicular thermoregulation in ruminants? *Theriogenology*, 147, pp. 166-175. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.201</u> 9.11.019
- Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería, Desarrollo Rural, Pesca, y Alimentación 2001. 'Especificaciones técnicas para la producción, cuidado y uso de los animales de laboratorio' Diario Oficial de La Federación. (Secretaría de Gobernación: México, Mexico).
- Shahat, A.M., Rizzoto, G. and Kastelic, J.P., 2020. Amelioration of heat stress-induced damage to testes and sperm quality. *Theriogenology*, 158, pp. 84-96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.202</u> 0.08.034
- Silanikove, N., 2000. Effects of heat stress on the welfare of extensively managed domestic

ruminants. *Livestock Production Science*, 67, pp. 1-18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(00)00162-7</u>

Simões, J., Almeida, J.C., Baril, G., Azevedo, J., Fontes, P. and Mascarenhas, R., 2007. Assessment luteal function of by ultrasonographic appearance and measurement of corpora lutea in goats. Animal Reproduction Science, 97, pp. 136-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2006.01.006

- SYSTAT 13, 2009. San José, CA, USA: Cranes Software International Ltd.
- Teodoro, S.M., Pereira, A.M.F., Gusmão, J.D. and Silva, J.N.D., 2013. Influence of availability of shade on testicular characteristics of Santa Ines rams. *Acta Scientiarum. Animal Sciences*, 35, pp. 441-446. <u>https://doi.org/10.4025/actascianimsci.v35i.1</u> <u>8479</u>
- Walkden-Brown, S.W., Restall, B.J., Norton, B.W. and Henniawati., 1993. The male effect in the Australian cashmere goat. 3. Enhancement with buck nutrition and use of oestrous females. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 32, pp. 69–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4320(93)90058-y</u>